8th Grade Lesson Plan Packet 5/25/2020-5/29/2020



Remote Learning Packet

There is no need to submit this packet at the end of the week. Enjoy your summer break!

Week 9: May 25-29, 2020 Course: 8 Art (Art 3) Teacher(s): Ms. Clare Frank

Monday, May 25

Happy Memorial Day! No School!

Tuesday, May 26 - Friday May 29

We have two types of activities this week: viewing work from each other in "Gallery Folders", and a "Shadow Games" drawing project. You will create scenes of silhouettes by drawing cast shadows - found or choreographed by you, or a combination thereof.

You are not turning in your work for a grade this week, but if you would like to share what you have done, I would love to see it!

Allot your time for the plan below as best suits you and your schedule from Tuesday through Thursday:

Open the Gallery Folders to view work from this quarter.

ъ "Play of Light": Photography slideshows from your class.

• "Views and Observations": A selection of drawings from several Spring 2020 classes.

Drawing Session 1: Using a whole page in your sketchbook, lightly draw silhouettes of objects to create a scene with "figures", props and background. Here follow some suggestions:

- You could use foliage shadows for the background
- You could use toys or hands in various configurations for the figures (think shadow puppets)
- If you just love to construct things, you could make a tower of sticks and twigs and draw from its shadows for the background obviously this would be a greater time investment

Drawing Session 2: Using a variety of hatching, linework, pattern and mark-making techniques, fill in the shadows of your scene to obtain a variety of values and contrast levels between the levels of space (the different figures, props, and background patterns).



Remote Learning Packet

There is no need to submit this packet at the end of the week. Enjoy your summer break!

Week 9: May 25-29, 2020 Course: US History Teacher(s): Mrs. Jimenez (margaret.cousino@greatheartsirving.org)

Monday, May 25

Happy Memorial Day! No School!

Tuesday, May 26 - Friday May 29

Answer the pre-reading questions

Read the essay from Alexis de Tocqueville

Answer post-reading questions

] Have a relaxing and enjoyable summer! Thanks for all your hard work this year!

Are Americans Happy?

In 1831 a young Frenchman named Alexis de Tocqueville toured the United States. The result was is book, Democracy in America, which might still be the most profound analysis of American society and character available. A selection from this classic, "Why Americans Are So Often Restless in the Midst of Their Prosperity," is the focus of this assignment.

Pre-reading Questions:

1) In your experience, are Americans a happy people? Why or why not?

2) Is the legal, constitutional, and political equality of Americans more likely to lead them to be happy or unhappy?

"Why Americans Are So Often Restless in the Midst of Their Prosperity" by Alexis de Tocqueville

You still sometimes find, in certain remote districts of the Old World, small populations that have been as if forgotten amid the universal tumult and that have remained unchanged when everything around them moved. Most of these peoples are very ignorant and very wretched; they are not involved in governmental affairs and often governments oppress them. But they usually show a serene face, and they often exhibit a cheerful mood.

I saw in America the most free and most enlightened men placed in the happiest condition in the world; it seemed to me that a kind of cloud habitually covered their features; they appeared to me grave and almost sad, even in their pleasures.

The principal reason for this is that the first do not think about the evils that they endure, while the others think constantly about the goods that they do not have.

It is a strange thing to see with what kind of feverish ardor the Americans pursue well-being, and how they appear tormented constantly by a vague fear of not having chosen the shortest road that can lead to it.

The inhabitant of the United States is attached to the goods of this world, as if he was assured of not dying, and he hastens so much to seize those goods that pass within his reach, that you would say that at every instant he is afraid of ceasing to live before enjoying them. He seizes all of them, but without gripping them, and he soon lets them escape from his hands in order to run after new enjoyments.

A man, in the United States, carefully builds a house in which to spend his old age, and he sells it while the ridgepole is being set; he plants a garden and he rents it as he is about to taste its fruits; he clears a field, and he leaves to others the trouble of gathering the harvest. He embraces a profession, and he leaves it. He settles in a place that he soon leaves in order to carry his changing desires elsewhere. If his private affairs give him some respite, he immediately plunges into the whirl of politics. And when, near the end of a year filled with work, he still has a little leisure, he takes his restless curiosity here and there across the vast limits of the United States. He will do as much as five hundred leagues in a few days in order to distract himself better from his happiness.

Death finally intervenes and stops him before he has grown weary of this useless pursuit of a complete felicity that always escapes.

You are at first astounded contemplating this singular agitation exhibited by so many happy men, in the very midst of abundance. This spectacle is, however, as old as the world; what is new is to see it presented by an entire people.

The taste for material enjoyments must be considered as the primary source of this secret restlessness that is revealed in the actions of Americans, and of this inconstancy that they daily exemplify.

The man who has confined his heart solely to the pursuit of the goods of this world is always in a hurry, for he has only a limited time to find them, to take hold of them and to enjoy them. The memory of the brevity of life goads him constantly. Apart from the goods that he possesses, at every instant he imagines a thousand others that death will prevent him from tasting if he does not hurry. This thought fills him with uneasiness, fears, and regrets, and keeps his soul in a kind of constant trepidation that leads him to change plans and places at every moment.

If the taste for material well being is joined with a social state in which neither law nor custom any longer holds anyone in his place, it is one more great excitement to this restlessness of spirit; you will then see men continually change path, for fear of missing the shortest road that is to lead them to happiness.

It is easy to understand, moreover, that if the men who passionately seek material enjoyments do desire strongly, they must be easily discouraged; since the final goal is to enjoy, the means to get there must be quick and easy, otherwise the difficulty of obtaining the enjoyment would surpass the enjoyment. So most souls are at the same time ardent and soft, violent and enervated. Often death is less feared than constant efforts toward the same goal.

Equality leads by a still more direct road toward several of the effects that I have just described.

When all the prerogatives of birth and fortune are destroyed, when all the professions are open to everyone, and when you can reach the summit of each one of them on your own, an immense and easy career seems to open before the ambition of men, and they readily imagine that they are called to great destinies. <u>f</u> But that is an erroneous view that experience corrects every day. The same equality that allows each citizen to conceive vast hopes makes all citizens individually weak. It limits their strengths on all sides, at the same time that it allows their desires to expand.

Not only are they powerless by themselves, but also they find at each step immense obstacles that they had not at first noticed.

They destroyed the annoying privileges of a few of their fellows; they encounter the competition of all. The boundary marker has changed form rather than place. When men are more or less similar and follow the same road, it is very difficult for any one of them to march quickly and cut through the uniform crowd that surrounds and crushes him.

This constant opposition that reigns between the instincts given birth by equality and the means that equality provides to satisfy them torments and fatigues souls

You can imagine men having arrived at a certain degree of liberty that satisfies them entirely. They then enjoy their independence without restlessness and without fervor. But men will never establish an equality that is enough for them.

Whatever efforts a people may make, it will not succeed in making conditions perfectly equal within it; and if it had the misfortune to arrive at this absolute and complete leveling, there would still be inequality of intelligence that, coming directly from God, will always escape the laws.

No matter how democratic the social state and political constitution of a people, you can therefore count on each of its citizens always seeing near himself several points that are above him, and you can predict that he will obstinately turn his attention solely in their direction. When inequality is the common law of a society, the greatest inequalities do not strike the eye. When all is nearly level, the least inequalities offend it. This is why the desire for equality always becomes more insatiable as equality is greater.

Among democratic peoples, men easily gain a certain equality; they cannot attain the equality they desire. The latter retreats from them every day, but without ever hiding from their view, and by withdrawing, it draws them in pursuit. They constantly believe that they are about to grasp it, and it constantly escapes their grip. They see it close enough to know its charms, they do not come close enough to enjoy it, and they die before having fully savored its sweet pleasures.

It is to these causes that you must attribute the singular melancholy that the inhabitants of democratic countries often reveal amid their abundance, and this disgust for life that sometimes comes to seize them in the middle of a comfortable and tranquil existence.

Some complain in France that the number of suicides is growing; in America suicide is rare, but we are assured that insanity is more common than anywhere else.

These are different symptoms of the same disease.

Americans do not kill themselves, however agitated they are, because religion forbids them to so do, and because among them materialism does not so to speak exist, although the passion for material well-being is general.

Their will resists, but often their reason gives way.

In democratic times enjoyments are more intense than in aristocratic centuries, and above all the number of those who sample them is infinitely greater; but on the other hand, it must be recognized that hopes and desires are more often disappointed there, souls more excited and more restless, and anxieties more burning.

Sources: https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/tocqueville-democracy-in-america-historical-critical-edition-vol-3/simple#lf1532-03_label_1235_ www.uhd.edu

Post-reading Questions:

1) Does Tocqueville think that Americans are mistaken in desiring prosperity and equality?

2) According to Tocqueville, what is the sort of equality Americans long for?

3) Why does Tocqueville think men can achieve a satisfactory degree of liberty but not a satisfactory degree of equality?

4) Is Tocqueville right that equality leads to restlessness and unhappiness? Can one be happy and still

4) Is Tocqueville right that equality leads to restlessness and unhappiness? Can one be happy and still endlessly pursue greater prosperity?



Remote Learning Packet

There is no need to submit this packet at the end of the week. Enjoy your summer break!

Week 9: May 25-29, 2020 Course: Latin II Teacher(s): Ms. Silkey erin.silkey@greatheartsirving.org Ms. Mueller mariel.mueller@greatheartsirving.org Supplemental Link: <u>CLC Unit 3 Dictionary</u>

Monday, May 25

Happy Memorial Day! No School!

Tuesday, May 26 - Friday May 29

- Check last week's work against the answer keys provided (i.e. "Perfect Passive Practice Sentences," "More Practice" charts 2 and 3 from "Stage 30: The Pluperfect Passive Indicative," "Pluperfect Passive Practice Sentences," "Indicative Verbs Synopses," and "Verb Variables."
- 2. Read or translate the attached Aesop's Fable *De Cornice et Urna* or "The Crow and the Pot." Remember, **you will not submit any work from this week**. This story is provided for you to read and enjoy!

KEY	
	Stage 30: Perfect Passive Practice Sentences

Circle the perfect passive verb in each sentence (be sure to circle both words). *Underline* the subject and *identify* the gender and number. Then *translate* the sentence. The first one is done for you:

1. <u>hostēs</u> ā Romānīs superātī sunt. Gender: <u>masculine</u>___ Number: <u>plural</u>___ The enemy have been overcome by the Romans. 2. arcus ab Imperātore dedicātus est. Gender: *masculine* Number: *singular* The arch was/has been dedicated by the Emperor. 3. multī nūntiī ad urbem missī sunt. Gender: *masculine* Number: *plural* Many messengers were/have been sent to the city. 4. dux hostium ā mīlitbus captus est. Gender: *masculine* Number: singular The leader of the enemy was/has been captured by the soldiers. 5. cūr ad vīllam non invītātī estis? Gender: *masculine* Number: *plural* Why were y'all not invited/ Why have y'all not been invited to the house? 6. ā Salviō dēceptus sum. Gender: *masculine* Number: *Singular* I was/have been deceived by Salvius.

Stage 30: The Pluperfect Passive Indicative

2. trahō, trahere, traxī, tractus

	Form	Translation
1st Person Sing.	tracta eram	I had been dragged
2 nd Person Sing.	tracta erās	You had been dragged
3 rd Person Sing.	tracta erat	She had been dragged
1 st Person Pl.	tractae erāmus	We had been dragged
2 nd Person Pl.	tractae erātis	Y'all had been dragged
3 rd Person Pl.	tractae erant	They had been dragged

3. audiō, audīre, audīvī, audītus

	Form	Translation
1st Person Sing.	audīta eram	I had been heard
2 nd Person Sing.	audīta erās	You had been heard
3 rd Person Sing.	audīta erat	She had been heard
1 st Person Pl.	audītae erāmus	We had been heard
2 nd Person Pl.	audītae erātis	Y'all had been heard
3 rd Person Pl.	audītae erant	They had been heard

____KEY____

KEY	
	Stage 30: Pluperfect Passive Practice Sentences

Circle the perfect passive verb in each sentence (be sure to circle both words). *Underline* the subject and *identify* the gender and number. Then *translate* the sentence. The first one is done for you:

1.	dominus ā servo vulnerātus erat	Gender: masculine	Number: <u>singular</u>	
	The master had been wounded by a slave.			
2.	Simon ā mātre servātus erat.	Gender: masculine	Number: <i>singular</i>	
	Simon had been saved by his mother.			
3.	custodes circum carcerem positi erant.	Gender: masculine	Number: <i>plural</i>	
	Guards had been placed/positioned around the prison.			
4.	dīligenter laborāre iussī erātis.	Gender: masculine	Number: <i>plural</i>	
	Y'all had been ordered to work carefully/di	ligently.		
5.	ā mīlitibus Rōmānīs superātī erāmus.	Gender: masculine	Number: <i>plural</i>	
	We had been overcome by Roman soldiers.			
6.	fēmina ā fīlio vituperāta erat.	Gender: <i>feminine</i>	Number: <i>singular</i>	
	The woman had been cursed/told off by her son.			

Indicative Verb Synopses

 	KEY	

Below are synopses of the verbs amo and duco in the 3rd person singular of the indicative mood. Verb synopses are a great way to consolidate and review the many forms you have learned over the last 3 years. Note which stems are used for each form.

Complete the synopses by providing an English translation for each form. The gender of the participle should be reflected in your translation.

Active Voice	Latin Form	English Translation
Present Active	amat	he loves/ he is loving/ he does love
Imperfect Active	amābat	he was loving/ he used to love
Perfect Active	amāvit	he loved/ he has loved/ he did love
Pluperfect Active	amāverat	he had loved

1. amō, amāre, amāvī, amātus; love

Passive Voice	Latin Form	English Translation
Present Passive	amātur	he is loved/ he is being loved
Imperfect Passive	amābātur	he was being loved/ he used to be loved
Perfect Passive	amātus est	he was loved/ he has been loved
Pluperfect Passive	amātus erat	he had been loved

2. dūcō, dūcere, dūxī, ductus; lead

Active Voice	Latin Form	English Translation
Present Active	dūcit	she leads/ she is leading/ she does lead
Imperfect Active	dūcēbat	she was leading/ she used to lead
Perfect Active	dūxit	she led/ she has been led/ she did lead
Pluperfect Active	dūxerat	she had led

Passive Voice	Latin Form	English Translation
Present Passive	dūcitur	she is led/ she is being led
Imperfect Passive	dūcēbātur	she was being led/ she used to be led
Perfect Passive	ducta est	she was led/ she has been led
Pluperfect Passive	ducta erat	she had been led

Stage 30 Verb variables

Match each verb in column one with its translation and identification.

1	affectus est	Α	he was affecting	a	present active
2	afficitur	В	he affected	b	imperfect active
3	affectus erat	С	he was being affected	c	perfect active
4	afficit	D	he had affected	d	pluperfect active
5	afficiēbat	E	he has been affected	e	present passive
6	affēcit	F	he affects	f	imperfect passive
7	afficiēbātur	G	he had been affected	g	perfect passive
8	affēcerat	Η	he is being affected	h	pluperfect passive

1	Eg
2	He
3	Gh
4	Fa
5	Ab
6	Bc
7	Cf
8	Dd

7 _____afficiēbātur G he had been affected
8 _____affēcerat H he is being affected
1 Eg
2 He
3 Gh



Fable 5. **DE CORNICE ET URNA** (Barlow 39)

Introduction The Crow and The Pot

The ancient Greeks and Romans were fascinated by animal tricks and anything that the animals did that seemed to suggest that the "dumb beasts" were, after all, intelligent. We share the same fascination, of course, as shown by the endless supply of animal trick videos on YouTube. The fable you are about to read is very much like a YouTube animal video. The crow in this story does not speak, and instead we just watch her ingenious behavior, step by step, as she discovers a way to raise the level of water in a pot so that she can take a drink. Of course, since this is an Aesop's fable, the story also has a moral we can learn from. Joseph Jacobs expressed the moral of the story as "Little by little does the trick." For Townsend, the moral of the story is that "Necessity is the mother of invention." If the little crow spoke a few words at the end of the fable, how do you think she would express the moral of the story?

For other stories about intelligent birds, see the fable of the swallow and the flax seed (Fable 59), the dove who rescued the ant (Fable 43), or the lark who watched the farmer (Fable 49).

Grammar Overview Passive and Active Verbs in Translation

Whenever you find a passive participle in Latin, you are faced with a double dilemma. First, what to do about the passive voice? The Latin passive system is extremely rich and flexible, but English passive verbs often convey a sense of vagueness. Next, what to do about the participle? Again, the Latin participle system is well developed, much more so than in English. So, when you see a Latin passive participle, you might try rendering the Latin passive participle as an active finite verb in English in order to make your English sound more like English and less like Latin. Here's an example from the fable you are about to read: *Cornix lectos ex arena lapillulos iniectat*, "The crow tosses in pebbles gathered from the sand." If you change the Latin participle to an active verb in English, you can actually stay closer to the Latin word order, in addition to creating a more lively sentence: "The crow gathers little stones from the sand and tosses them in." The difference is stylistic, based on your goals and preferences as a translator. The key is to understand the Latin grammar fully, so that you can then make well-informed stylistic choices as you translate. (See the notes to Fable 8 for the closely related problem of how to translate Latin ablative absolutes into English.)

Vocabulary Nouns

arena (arenae, f.): sand cornix (cornicis, f.): crow, she-crow lapillulus (lapilluli, m.): pebble, tiny stone molimen (moliminis, n.): effort, vehemence urna (urnae, f.): pot, urn

Adjectives

profundus, profunda, profundum: deep sitibundus, sitibunda, sitibundum: thirsty vanus, vana, vanum: meaningless, useless

Verbs

bibo (bibere): drink conor (conari): attempt, endeavor effundo (effundere): pour out exhaurio (exhaurire): drain, drink up iniecto (iniectare): lay on, put in levo (levare): lift up, elevate reperio (reperire): find, discover

Ex granis acervus.

DE CORNICE ET URNA

Dramatis Persona

Cornix, the crow.

Sitibunda Cornix reperit urnam aqua plenam, sed erat urna profundior quam ut exhauri a Cornice possit. Conatur igitur vano molimine aquam effundere, sed non valet. Lectos igitur ex arena lapillulos iniectat. Hoc modo aqua levatur et Cornix bibit.

Grammar Notes

aqua plenam. The adjective plenam here takes an ablative complement ("filled with").

profundior quam ut exhauri a cornice possit. The word **quam** coordinates a comparison introduced by the comparative adjective **profundior** ("deeper than what could be drunk by the crow").

conatur igitur. For the postpositive particle igitur, see Fable 32.

lectos lapillulos iniectat. You might translate the passive participle with an active verb (see the *Grammar Overview* for this fable): the crow gathered the little stones (**lectos**) and then tosses them in (**iniectat**).

Omnium rerum principia parva sunt.

Avis a cantu dignoscitur.

Est avis in dextra melior quam quattuor extra.



Remote Learning Packet

There is no need to submit this packet at the end of the week. Enjoy your summer break!

Week 9: May 25-29, 2020

Course: 8 Literature and Composition Teacher(s): Mr. Garner <u>ben.garner@greatheartsirving.org</u> Mr. McKowen <u>robert.mckowen@greatheartsirving.org</u>

Monday, May 25

Happy Memorial Day! No School!

Tuesday, May 26 - Friday May 29

- 1. Read "The Nature and Aim of Fiction" by Flannery O'Connor found at the end of this packet.
- 2. Questions to reflect on via writing in your notebook:
 - a. What is a bold claim or sentence made by O'Connor that stood out to you? Clearly write it out. Why did it stand out to you?
 - b. How is being a writer different from someone who is actively writing?
 - c. What is the nature and aim of fiction?

FARRAR, STRAUS & GIROUX NEW YORK Books by FLANNERY O'CONNOR

Wise Blood A Good Man Is Hard to Find The Violent Bear It Away Everything That Rises Must Converge Mystery and Manners The Complete Stories of Flannery O'Connor

Flannery O'Connor

MYSTERY AND MANNERS

Occasional Prose, selected & edited by

Sally and Robert Fitzgerald

The Habit of Being

9

Southern Fiction • 36 The Regional Writer • 51

The Fiction Writer & His Country • 25 Some Aspects of the Grotesque in

II

The King of the Birds • 3

I

FOREWORD vii

CONTENTS

www.fsgbooks.com

Designed by Guy Fleming

Paperback ISBN-13: 978-0-374-50804-3 Paperback ISBN-10: 0-374-50804-6 Library of Congress catalog card number: 69-15409

Copyright © 1957, 1961, 1963, 1964, 1966, 1967, 1969 by the Estate of Mary Flannery O'Connor Copyright © 1962 by Flannery O'Connor Copyright © 1961 by Farrar, Straus and Cudahy (now Farrar, Straus and Giroux) All rights reserved Distributed in Canada by Douglas & McIntyre Ltd. Printed in the United States of America Published in 1969 by Farrar, Straus and Giroux First paperback edition, 1970

Farrar, Straus and Giroux 18 West 18th Street, New York 10011

VWWWWWWWWWW

The Nature and Aim

of Fiction

L UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS A COURSE CALLED "How the Writer Writes," and that each week you are exposed to a different writer who holds forth on the subject. The only parallel I can think of to this is having the zoo come to you, one animal at a time; and I suspect that what you hear one week from the giraffe is contradicted the next week by the baboon.

My own problem in thinking what I should say to you tonight has been how to interpret such a title as "How the Writer Writes." In the first place, there is no such thing as THE writer, and I think that if you

don't know that now, you should by the time such a course as this is over. In fact, I predict that it is the one thing you can be absolutely certain of learning.

jects are currently acceptable. ing habits and about markets and about what subaccomplished by learning certain things about workters not what. And they seem to feel that this can be their names at the top of something printed, it matwriter, not in writing. They are interested in seeing ested in publishing something, and if possible in making a "killing." They are interested in being a writing are interested in writing well. They are intervery few people who are supposedly interested in course, as innocent as I look. I know well enough that to see what he wants to talk about. I am not, of rubble for him to clear away before he can even begin subject, there are always misconceptions and mental and how they work, and when a writer talks on this But there is a widespread curiosity about writers

If this is what you are interested in, I am not going to be of much use to you. I feel that the external habits of the writer will be guided by his common sense or his lack of it and by his personal circumstances; and that these will seldom be alike in two cases. What interests the serious writer is not external habits but what Maritain calls, "the habit of art"; and he explains that "habit" in this sense means a certain

64]

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

quality or virtue of the mind. The scientist has the habit of science; the artist, the habit of art.

Now I'd better stop here and explain how I'm using the word *art*. Art is a word that immediately scares people off, as being a little too grand. But all I mean by art is writing something that is valuable in itself and that works in itself. The basis of art is truth, both in matter and in mode. The person who aims after art in his work aims after truth, in an imaginative sense, no more and no less. St. Thomas said that the artist is concerned with the good of that which is made; and that will have to be the basis of my few words on the subject of fiction.

Now you'll see that this kind of approach eliminates many things from the discussion. It eliminates any concern with the motivation of the writer except as this finds its place inside the work. It also eliminates any concern with the reader in his market sense. It also eliminates that tedious controversy that always rages between people who declare that they write to express themselves and those who declare that they write to fill their pocketbooks, if possible.

In this connection I always think of Henry James. I know of no writer who was hotter after the dollar than James was, or who was more of a conscientious artist. It is true, I think, that these are times when the financial rewards for sorry writing are much greater

than those for good writing. There are certain cases in which, if you can only learn to write poorly enough, you can make a great deal of money. But it is not true that if you write well, you won't get published at all. It is true that if you want to write well and live well at the same time, you'd better arrange to inherit money or marry a stockbroker or a rich woman who can operate a typewriter. In any case, whether you write to make money or to express your soul or to insure civil rights or to irritate your grandmother will be a matter for you and your analyst, and the point of departure for this discussion will be the good of the written work.

The kind of written work I'm going to talk about is story-writing, because that's the only kind I know anything about. I'll call any length of fiction a story, whether it be a novel or a shorter piece, and I'll call anything a story in which specific characters and events influence each other to form a meaningful narrative. I find that most people know what a story is until they sit down to write one. Then they find themselves writing a sketch with an essay woven through it, or an essay with a sketch woven through it, or an editorial with a character in it, or a case history with a moral, or some other mongrel thing. When they realize that they aren't writing stories, they decide that the remedy for this is to learn something that

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

they refer to as the "technique of the short story" or "the technique of the novel." Technique in the minds of many is something rigid, something like a formula that you impose on the material; but in the best stories it is something organic, something that grows out of the material, and this being the case, it is different for every story of any account that has ever been written.

edge is through the senses, and the fiction writer beceptive apparatus. The beginning of human knowlin large measure determined by the nature of our permental human sense, because the nature of fiction is will be concerned in this with the reader in his fundaabout a few of the qualities that follow from this. We mon denominator-the fact that it is concrete-and one quality of fiction which I think is its least commuch more fundamental level, so I want to talk about senses with abstractions. It is a good deal easier for gins where human perception begins. He appeals and thus re-create some object that they actually see through the senses, and you cannot appeal to the and this is what the beginning fiction writers are very most people to state an abstract idea than to describe unfleshed ideas and emotions. They are apt to be reloath to create. They are concerned primarily with But the world of the fiction writer is full of matter, I think we have to begin thinking about stories at a

[67

formers and to want to write because they are possessed not by a story but by the bare bones of some abstract notion. They are conscious of problems, not of people, of questions and issues, not of the texture of existence, of case histories and of everything that has a sociological smack, instead of with all those concrete details of life that make actual the mystery of our position on earth.

The Manicheans separated spirit and matter. To them all material things were evil. They sought pure spirit and tried to approach the infinite directly without any mediation of matter. This is also pretty much the modern spirit, and for the sensibility infected with it, fiction is hard if not impossible to write because fiction is so very much an incarnational art.

One of the most common and saddest spectacles is that of a person of really fine sensibility and acute psychological perception trying to write fiction by using these qualities alone. This type of writer will put down one intensely emotional or keenly perceptive sentence after the other, and the result will be complete dullness. The fact is that the materials of the fiction writer are the humblest. Fiction is about everything human and we are made out of dust, and if you scorn getting yourself dusty, then you shouldn't try to write fiction. It's not a grand enough job for you.

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

Now when the fiction writer finally gets this idea through his head and into his habits, he begins to realize what a job of heavy labor the writing of fiction is. A lady who writes, and whom I admire very much, wrote me that she had learned from Flaubert that it takes at least three activated sensuous strokes to make an object real; and she believes that this is connected with our having five senses. If you're deprived of any of them, you're in a bad way, but if you're deprived of more than two at once, you almost aren't present.

All the sentences in *Madame Bovary* could be examined with wonder, but there is one in particular that always stops me in admiration. Flaubert has just shown us Emma at the piano with Charles watching her. He says, "She struck the notes with aplomb and ran from top to bottom of the keyboard without a break. Thus shaken up, the old instrument, whose strings buzzed, could be heard at the other end of the village when the window was open, and often the bailiff's clerk, passing along the highroad, bareheaded and in list slippers, stopped to listen, his sheet of paper in his hand."

The more you look at a sentence like that, the more you can learn from it. At one end of it, we are with Emma and this very solid instrument "whose strings buzzed," and at the other end of it we are across the

village with this very concrete clerk in his lis sl. ppers. With regard to what happens to Emma in the rest of the novel, we may think that it makes no difference that the instrument has buzzing strings or that the clerk wears list slippers and has a piece of paper in his hand, but Flaubert had to create a believable village to put Emma in. It's always necessary to remember that the fiction writer is much less *immediately* concerned with grand ideas and bristling emotions than he is with putting list slippers on clerks.

Now of course this is something that some people learn only to abuse. This is one reason that strict naturalism is a dead end in fiction. In a strictly naturalistic work the detail is there because it is natural to life, not because it is natural to the work. In a work of art we can be extremely literal, without being in the least naturalistic. Art is selective, and its truthfulness is the truthfulness of the essential that creates movement.

The novel works by a slower accumulation of detail than the short story does. The short story requires more drastic procedures than the novel because more has to be accomplished in less space. The details have to carry more immediate weight. In good fiction, certain of the details will tend to accumulate meaning from the story itself, and when this happens, they become symbolic in their action.

70]

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

Now the word symbol scares a good many people off, just as the word art does. They seem to feel that a symbol is some mysterious thing put in arbitrarily by the writer to frighten the common reader—sort of a literary Masonic grip that is only for the initiated. They seem to think that it is a way of saying something that you aren't actually saying, and so if they can be got to read a reputedly symbolic work at all, they approach it as if it were a problem in algebra. Find x. And when they do find or think they find this abstraction, x, then they go off with an elaborate sense of satisfaction and the notion that they have "understood" the story. Many students confuse the *process* of understanding a thing with understanding it.

I think that for the fiction writer himself, symbols are something he uses simply as a matter of course. You might say that these are details that, while having their essential place in the literal level of the story, operate in depth as well as on the surface, increasing the story in every direction.

I think the way to read a book is always to see what happens, but in a good novel, more always happens than we are able to take in at once, more happens than meets the eye. The mind is led on by what it sees into the greater depths that the book's symbols naturally suggest. This is what is meant when critics say

that a novel operates on several levels. The truer the symbol, the deeper it leads you, the more meaning it opens up. To take an example from my own book, W is Blood, the hero's rat-colored automobile is his pulpit and his coffin as well as something he thinks of as a means of escape. He is mistaken in thinking that it is a means of escape, of course, and does not really escape his predicament until the car is destroyed by the patrolman. The car is a life-in-death symbol. The fact that these meanings are there makes the book significant. The reader may not see them but they have their effect on him nonetheless. This is the way the modern novelist sinks, or hides, his theme.

The kind of vision the fiction writer needs to have, or to develop, in order to increase the meaning of his story is called anagogical vision, and that is the kind of vision that is able to see different levels of reality in one image or one situation. The medieval commentators on Scripture found three kinds of meaning in the literal level of the sacred text: one they called allegorical, in which one fact pointed to another; one they called tropological, or moral, which had to do with what should be done; and one they called anagogical, which had to do with the Divine life and our participation in it. Although this was a method applied to biblical exegesis, it was also an attitude toward all of

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

creation, and a way of reading nature which included most possibilities, and I think it is this enlarged view of the human scene that the fiction writer has to cultivate if he is ever going to write stories that have any chance of becoming a permanent part of our literature. It seems to be a paradox that the larger and more complex the personal view, the easier it is to compress it into fiction.

People have a habit of saying, "What is the theme of your story?" and they expect you to give them a statement: "The theme of my story is the economic pressure of the machine on the middle class"—or some such absurdity. And when they've got a statement like that, they go off happy and feel it is no longer necessary to read the story.

Some people have the notion that you read the story and then climb out of it into the meaning, but for the fiction writer himself the whole story is the meaning, because it is an experience, not an abstraction.

Now the second common characteristic of fiction follows from this, and it is that fiction is presented in such a way that the reader has the sense that it is unfolding around him. This doesn't mean he has to identify himself with the character or feel compassion for the character or anything like that. It just means that fiction has to be largely presented rather than re-

72]

ported. Another way to say it is that though fiction is a narrative art, it relies heavily on the element of drama.

The story is not as extreme a form of drama as the play, but if you know anything about the history of the novel, you know that the novel as an art form has developed in the direction of dramatic unity.

around in the thoughts of various unsavory characscenes, apparently disinterested. By the time we get began to let it come through the minds and eyes of author began to tell his story in a different way. He in, explaining and psychologizing about their characrian novelists did this, too. They were always coming or there, clarifying this and that incident for him so that, directing him to give his special attention here work, calling the reader's attention to this point and Fielding, for example, was everywhere in his own ters. He finds himself in the middle of a world apparthe book. The reader is on his own, floundering to James Joyce, the author is nowhere to be found in that he couldn't possibly miss the point. The Victofind it today is the disappearance from it of the author. in the eighteenth century and the novel as we usually the characters themselves, and he sat behind the ters. But along about the time of Henry James, the The major difference between the novel as written

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

But it is from the kind of world the writer creates, from the kind of character and detail he invests it with, that a reader can find the intellectual meaning of a book. Once this is found, however, it cannot be drained off and used as a substitute for the book. As the late John Peale Bishop said: "You can't say Cézanne painted apples and a tablecloth and have said what Cézanne painted." The novelist makes his statements by selection, and if he is any good, he selects every word for a reason, every detail for a reason, every incident for a reason, and arranges them in a certain time-sequence for a reason. He demonstrates something that cannot possibly be demonstrated any other way than with a whole novel.

Art forms evolve until they reach their ultimate perfection, or until they reach some state of petrifaction, or until some new element is grafted on and a new art form made. But however the past of fiction has been or however the future will be, the present state of the case is that a piece of fiction must be very much a self-contained dramatic unit.

This means that it must carry its meaning inside it. It means that any abstractly expressed compassion or piety or morality in a piece of fiction is only a statement added to it. It means that you can't make an inadequate dramatic action complete by putting a statement of meaning on the end of it or in the middle of it

74]

ently without comment.

or at the beginning of it. It means that when you write fiction you are speaking *with* character and action, not *about* character and action. The writer's moral sense must coincide with his dramatic sense.

It's said that when Henry James received a manuscript that he didn't like, he would return it with the comment, "You have chosen a good subject and are treating it in a straightforward manner." This usually pleased the person getting the manuscript back, but it was the worst thing that James could think of to say, for he knew, better than anybody else, that the straightforward manner is seldom equal to the complications of the good subject. There may never be anything new to say, but there is always a new way to say it, and since, in art, the way of saying a thing becomes a part of what is said, every work of art is unique and requires fresh attention.

It's always wrong of course to say that you can't do this or you can't do that in fiction. You can do anything you can get away with, but nobody has ever gotten away with much.

I believe that it takes a rather different type of disposition to write novels than to write short stories, granted that both require fundamentally fictional talents. I have a friend who writes both, and she says that when she stops a novel to work on short stories, she feels as if she has just left a dark wood to be set

76]

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

upon by wolves. The novel is a more diffused form and more suited to those who like to linger along the way; it also requires a more massive energy. For those of us who want to get the agony over in a hurry, the novel is a burden and a pain. But no matter which fictional form you are using, you are writing a story, and in a story something has to happen. A perception is not a story, and no amount of sensitivity can make a story-writer out of you if you just plain don't have a gift for telling a story.

But there's a certain grain of stupidity that the writer of fiction can hardly do without, and this is the quality of having to stare, of not getting the point at once. The longer you look at one object, the more of the world you see in it; and it's well to remember that the serious fiction writer always writes about the whole world, no matter how limited his particular scene. For him, the bomb that was dropped on Hiroshima affects life on the Oconee River, and there's not anything he can do about it.

People are always complaining that the modern novelist has no hope and that the picture he paints of the world is unbearable. The only answer to this is that people without hope do not write novels. Writing a novel is a terrible experience, during which the hair often falls out and the teeth decay. I'm always highly irritated by people who imply that writing fiction is

an escape from reality. It is a plunge into reality and it's very shocking to the system. If the novelist is not sustained by a hope of money, then he must be sustained by a hope of salvation, or he simply won't survive the ordeal.

People without hope not only don't write novels, but what is more to the point, they don't read them. They don't take long looks at anything, because they lack the courage. The way to despair is to refuse to have any kind of experience, and the novel, of course, is a way to have experience. The lady who only read books that improved her mind was taking a safe course—and a hopeless one. She'll never know whether her mind is improved or not, but should she ever, by some mistake, read a great novel, she'll know mighty well that something is happening to her.

A good many people have the notion that nothing happens in modern fiction and that nothing is supposed to happen, that it is the style now to write a story in which nothing happens. Actually, I think more happens in modern fiction—with less furor on the surface—than has ever happened in fiction before. A good example of this is a story by Caroline Gordon called "Summer Dust." It's in a collection of her stories called *The Forest of the South*, which is a book that repays study.

"Summer Dust" is divided into four short sections,

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

which don't at first appear to have any relation between them and which are minus any narrative connection. Reading the story is at first rather like standing a foot away from an impressionistic painting, then gradually moving back until it comes into focus. When you reach the right distance, you suddenly see that a world has been created—and a world in action —and that a complete story has been told, by a wonderful kind of understatement. It has been told more by showing what happens around the story than by touching directly on the story itself.

You may say that this requires such an intelligent and sophisticated reader that it is not worth writing, but I'm rather inclined to think that it is more a false sophistication that prevents people from understanding this kind of story than anything else. Without being naturalistic in the least, a story like "Summer Dust" is actually much closer in form to life than a story that follows a narrative sequence of events.

The type of mind that can understand good fiction is not necessarily the educated mind, but it is at all times the kind of mind that is willing to have its sense of mystery deepened by contact with reality, and its sense of reality deepened by contact with mystery. Fiction should be both canny and uncanny. In a good deal of popular criticism, there is the notion operating that all fiction has to be about the Average Man, and

j

78]

has to depict average ordinary everyday life, that every fiction writer must produce what used to be called "a slice of life." But if life, in that sense, satisfied us, there would be no sense in producing literature at all.

Conrad said that his aim as a fiction writer was to render the highest possible justice to the visible universe. That sounds very grand, but it is really very humble. It means that he subjected himself at all times to the limitations that reality imposed, but that reality for him was not simply coextensive with the visible. He was interested in rendering justice to the visible universe because it suggested an invisible one, and he explained his own intentions as a novelist in this way:

. . . and if the [artist's] conscience is clear, his answer to those who in the fullness of a wisdom which looks for immediate profit, demand specifically to be edified, consoled, amused; who demand to be promptly improved, or encouraged, or frightened, or shocked or charmed, must run thus: My task which I am trying to achieve is, by the power of the written word, to make you hear, to make you feel—it is, before all, to make you see. That and no more, and it is everything. If I succeed, you shall find there, according to your deserts, encouragement, consolation, fear, charm, all you demand—and, perhaps, also that glimpse of truth for which you have forgotten to ask

[08

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

You may think from all I say that the reason I write is to make the reader see what I see, and that writing fiction is primarily a missionary activity. Let me straighten this out.

Last spring I talked here, and one of the girls asked me, "Miss O'Connor, why do you write?" and I said, "Because I'm good at it," and at once I felt a considerable disapproval in the atmosphere. I felt that this was not thought by the majority to be a highminded answer; but it was the only answer I could give. I had not been asked why I write the way I do, but why I write at all; and to that question there is only one legitimate answer.

There is no excuse for anyone to write fiction for public consumption unless he has been called to do so by the presence of a gift. It is the nature of fiction not to be good for much unless it is good in itself.

A gift of any kind is a considerable responsibility. It is a mystery in itself, something gratuitous and wholly undeserved, something whose real uses will probably always be hidden from us. Usually the artist has to suffer certain deprivations in order to use his gift with integrity. Art is a virtue of the practical intellect, and the practice of any virtue demands a certain asceticism and a very definite leaving-behind of the niggardly part of the ego. The writer has to judge himself with a stranger's eye and a stranger's sever-

ity. The prophet in him has to see the freak. No art is sunk in the self, but rather, in art the self becomes self-forgetful in order to meet the demands of the thing seen and the thing being made.

I think it is usually some form of self-inflation that destroys the free use of a gift. This may be the pride of the reformer or the theorist, or it may only be that simple-minded self-appreciation which uses its own sincerity as a standard of truth. If you have read the very vocal writers from San Francisco, you may have got the impression that the first thing you must do in order to be an artist is to loose yourself from the bonds of reason, and thereafter, anything that rolls off the top of your head will be of great value. Anyone's unrestrained feelings are considered worth listening to because they are unrestrained and because they are feelings.

St. Thomas called art "reason in making." This is a very cold and very beautiful definition, and if it is unpopular today, this is because reason has lost ground among us. As grace and nature have been separated, so imagination and reason have been separated, and this always means an end to art. The artist uses his reason to discover an answering reason in everything he sees. For him, to be reasonable is to find, in the object, in the situation, in the sequence, the spirit which makes it itself. This is not an easy or

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

simple thing to do. It is to intrude upon the timeless, and that is only done by the violence of a singleminded respect for the truth.

to his reader. ways be a greater surprise to him than it can ever be which his conscious mind can encompass and will alwill have its source in a realm much larger than that he is finished. If a writer is any good, what he makes knew all along, or worse still, a way to say nothing, is going to find, and discovers a way to say what he writer "learns to write," as soon as he knows what he uing process of learning how to write. As soon as the thing that is always with the writer-no matter how sibilities of words and the respect due them. One long he has written or how good he is-is the continyou how to write, but to teach you the limits and posclasses in writing, these classes should not be to teach for one to write. If you go to a school where there are that can be discovered and applied to make it possible It follows from all this that there is no technique

I don't know which is worse—to have a bad teacher or no teacher at all. In any case, I believe the teacher's work should be largely negative. He can't put the gift into you, but if he finds it there, he can try to keep it from going in an obviously wrong direction. We can learn how not to write, but this is a discipline that does not simply concern writing itself but

82]

concerns the whole intellectual life. A mind cleared of false emotion and false sentiment and egocentricity is going to have at least those roadblocks removed from its path. If you don't think cheaply, then there at least won't be the quality of cheapness in your writing, even though you may not be able to write well. The teacher can try to weed out what is positively bad, and this should be the aim of the whole college. Any discipline can help your writing: logic, mathematics, theology, and of course and particularly drawing. Anything that helps you to see, anything that makes you look. The writer should never be ashamed of staring. There is nothing that doesn't require his attention.

We hear a great deal of lamentation these days about writers having all taken themselves to the colleges and universities where they live decorously instead of going out and getting firsthand information about life. The fact is that anybody who has survived his childhood has enough information about life to last him the rest of his days. If you can't make something out of a little experience, you probably won't be able to make it out of a lot. The writer's business is to contemplate experience, not to be merged in it.

Everywhere I go I'm asked if I think the universities stifle writers. My opinion is that they don't stifle enough of them. There's many a best-seller that could

The Nature and Aim of Fiction

have been prevented by a good teacher. The idea of being a writer attracts a good many shiftless people, those who are merely burdened with poetic feelings or afflicted with sensibility. Granville Hicks, in a recent review of James Jones' novel, quoted Jones as saying, "I was stationed at Hickham Field in Hawaii when I stumbled upon the works of Thomas Wolfe, and his home life seemed so similar to my own, his feelings about himself so similar to mine about myself, that I realized I had been a writer all my life without knowing it or having written." Mr. Hicks goes on to say that Wolfe did a great deal of damage of this sort but that Jones is a particularly appalling example.

Now in every writing class you find people who care nothing about writing, because they think they are already writers by virtue of some experience they've had. It is a fact that if, either by nature or training, these people can learn to write badly enough, they can make a great deal of money, and in a way it seems a shame to deny them this opportunity; but then, unless the college is a trade school, it still has its responsibility to truth, and I believe myself that these people should be stifled with all deliberate speed.

Presuming that the people left have some degree of talent, the question is what can be done for them in a

[85

84]

writing class. I believe the teacher's work is largely negative, that it is largely a matter of saying "This doesn't work because . . ." or "This does work because . . ." The *because* is very important. The teacher can help you understand the nature of your medium, and he can guide you in your reading. I don't believe in classes where students criticize each other's manuscripts. Such criticism is generally composed in equal parts of ignorance, flattery, and spite. It's the blind leading the blind, and it can be dangerous. A teacher who tries to impose a way of writing on you can be dangerous too. Fortunately, most teachers I've known were too lazy to do this. In any case, you should beware of those who appear overenergetic.

In the last twenty years the colleges have been emphasizing creative writing to such an extent that you almost feel that any idiot with a nickel's worth of talent can emerge from a writing class able to write a competent story. In fact, so many people can now write competent stories that the short story as a medium is in danger of dying of competence. We want competence, but competence by itself is deadly. What is needed is the vision to go with it, and you do not get this from a writing class.

Writing Short Stories

L HAVE HEARD PEOPLE SAY THAT THE SHORT STORY was one of the most difficult literary forms, and I've always tried to decide why people feel this way about what seems to me to be one of the most natural and fundamental ways of human expression.* After all,

* In another mood on another occasion Flannery O'Connor began as follows: "I have very little to say about short-story writing. It's one thing to write short stories and another thing to talk about writing them, and I hope you realize that your asking me to talk about story-writing is just like asking a fish to lecture on swimming. The more stories I write, the more mysterious I find the process and the less I find myself capable of analyzing it. Before I started writing stories, I suppose I could have given you a pretty good lecture on the subject, but nothing produces silence like experience, and at this point I have very little to say about how stories are written."

Remote Learning Packet



There is no need to submit this packet at the end of the week. Enjoy your summer break!

Week 9: May 25-29, 2020 Course: Algebra 1 Teacher(s): Mr. Mapes <u>steven.mapes@greatheartsirving.org</u> Ms. Frank <u>leslie.frank@greatheartsirving.org</u>

Monday, May 25

Happy Memorial Day! No School!

Tuesday, May 26 - Friday May 29

Complete the attached Review - Chapter 12. After completion, you may verify work/answers with the attached answer key. You will NOT need to scan, upload or submit this work.

Please use any extra time to ensure that you upload any missing packet materials from the first 8 weeks.

Thank you for all of your hard work during this challenging time, and have a relaxing summer. We are looking forward to seeing you all next fall!

Review Chapter 12

Solve the following. If necessary, please *leave answer in simplified radical form*. You may NOT use a calculator during the review.

1) Solve by taking the square	2) Solve by taking the square	3) Solve by taking the square
root of each side.	root of each side.	root of each side.
$\mathbf{x}^2 = \underline{16}$	$(x+7)^2 - 81 = 0$	$(x-4)^2 - 5 = 0$
25		

4) Solve by taking the square root of each side.	5) Find the value of c to make the expression a perfect	6) Find the value of c to make the expression a perfect
$5(m-8)^2 = 125$	square. $x^2 + 8x + c$	square. $x^2 - 3x + c$

7) Solve by completing the	8) Solve by completing the	9) Solve by completing the
square. $x^2 - 2x - 143 = 0$	square. $x^2 + 6x = 12$	square. $2x^2 + 8x = 24$
x = 2x = 143 = 0	$\mathbf{x} + 0\mathbf{x} = 12$	2X + 0X = 24

10) Solve by using the quadratic formula. $x^2 - 8x + 4 = 0$ 11) Solve by using the quadratic formula. $x^2 - 2x = 9$ 12) Solve by using the quadratic formula. $3x^2 + 4x = 6$

Review Chapter 12

Solve the following. If necessary, please *leave answer in simplified radical form*. You may NOT use a calculator during the review.

1) Solve by taking the square root of each side.



2) Solve by taking the square root of each side. $(x + 7)^2 - 81 = 0$

 $(x+7)^2 - 81 = 0$ +61 +61 (X+7)^2 = 81 $X+7=\pm 9$ -7 -7 $X = -7 \pm 9$ X = -16, 2

3) Solve by taking the square root of each side. $(x-4)^2-5=0$ +5+5 $-(x-4)^2=5$ $\chi-4=\pm 15$ +4 +4 $\chi=4\pm 15$

4) Solve by taking the square root of each side.



5) Find the value of c to make the expression a perfect square.

 $x^{2} + 8x + c$ $C = \left(\frac{8}{7}\right)^2 = 4^2 = 16$ C = 16

6) Find the value of c to make the expression a perfect square.



7) Solve by completing the square.

$$x^{2}-2x-143 = 0$$
+144 +144
$$\chi^{2}-2\chi+1 = 144 +1$$

$$\sqrt{(\chi-1)^{2}} = 145$$

$$\chi-1 = \pm 1145$$
+1 +1
$$\chi = 1 \pm 1145$$

8) Solve by completing the square. $x^2 + 6x = 12$

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi^{2} + (e \chi + 9) = 12 + 9 \\ \overline{(\chi + 3)^{2}} = 721 \\ \chi + 3 = \pm 121 \\ -3 & -3 \\ \overline{\chi} = -3 \pm 121 \\ \overline{\chi} = -3 \pm 121 \end{array}$$

9) Solve by completing the square.

 $2x^2 + 8x = 24$ 227 X2+4X+4=12+4 ~ (X+Z)2 =16 $X+2=\pm 4$ -2 -2 $X = -2 \pm 4$ Tx = -le, Z

10) Solve by using the
quadratic formula.

$$x^{2}-8x+4=0$$

$$a=1 \ b=-8 \ c=4$$

$$X = \underline{B \pm f(-\underline{B})^{2}-4(\underline{i})(\underline{4})}$$

$$Z(\underline{i})$$

$$= \underline{B \pm f(\underline{4}\underline{4}-\underline{1}\underline{6})}$$

$$Z(\underline{i})$$

$$= \underline{B \pm f(\underline{4}\underline{6})}$$

$$Z(\underline{6})$$

$$= \underline{B \pm f(\underline{4}\underline{6})}$$

$$Z(\underline{6})$$

$$= \underline{B \pm f(\underline{4})}$$

$$X = -\frac{b \pm b^2}{2a}$$
11) Solve by using the
quadratic formula.
 $x^2 - 2x = 9$
 $\chi^2 - 2\chi - q = 0$
 $a = 1 \ b = -2 \ c = -9$

$$X = 2 \pm 1(-2)^2 - 4(i)(-q)$$

$$X$$

$$Z(i)$$

$$= 2 \pm 149$$

$$Z$$

$$= 2 \pm 140$$

$$Z$$

$$= 2 \pm 210$$

$$Z$$

$$X = 1 \pm 10$$

12) Solve by using the
quadratic formula.

$$3x^{2} + 4x = 6$$

 $3x^{2} + 4x - 4 = 0$
 $a = 3 \quad b = 4 \quad c = -4$
 $x = -4 \pm (4^{2} - 4(3)(-4))$
 $b(3)$
 $= -4 \pm (16 \pm 72)$
 4
 $= -4 \pm (74 \cdot 72)$
 4
 $= -4 \pm (74 \cdot 72)$
 4
 $= -4 \pm (74 \cdot 72)$
 4
 $= -4 \pm (72)$
 4
 $= -4 \pm (72)$
 4
 $= -2 \pm (72)$
 4
 3



Remote Learning Packet

There is no need to submit this packet at the end of the week. Enjoy your summer break!

Week 9: May 25-29, 2020

Course: Physical Education

Teacher(s): John.Bascom@GreatHeartsIrving.org Joseph.Turner@GreatHeartsIrving.org James.Bascom@GreatHeartsIrving.org

Monday, May 25 Happy Memorial Day! No School!

Tuesday, May 26 - Friday May 29

Dearest students,

The year is coming to a close and the summer is almost upon us. For your final week of P.E., before the year officially ends, we want you to begin looking ahead to the summer and to begin setting goals and outlining routines that you would like to continue throughout the summer to stay active, healthy, and continue to grow and develop.

Think back to the goals that you set in week 1 of remote learning, think over what you have learned through attempting to carry out these routines, think about the workouts that we have given you each week. With all this in mind, write down on a piece of paper a revised list of goals and a revised weekly schedule. These are your goals and this is your schedule, they can be exactly the same or completely different as your previous goals/schedule. Feel free to aim high or to keep your goals/schedule very simple and manageable. Be sure to consider how much or how little you wish to do and then consider what the consequences of your choices will be.

Once you have written down your goals and your schedule, find a prominent place to post this schedule, maybe above your desk or on the back of your bedroom door. Put it in a place where you will see it frequently.

Your coaches wish for you a joyful summer and we look forward to seeing you again in the fall.

Stay savage.

Mr. John Bascom Mr. James Bascom Mr. Joseph Turner

GreatHearts Irving

Remote Learning Packet

There is no need to submit this packet at the end of the week. Enjoy your summer break!

Week 9: May 25-29, 2020 Course: Science Teacher(s): Mr. Weyrens (jack.weyrens@greatheartsirving.org)

May 18th - May 22nd, 2020

Monday, May 25

Happy Memorial Day! No School!

Tuesday, May 26 - Friday May 29

Spend 20 minutes working on learning the last stanza of the star splitter. As you are doing this, there are a couple of key things to think about and take away from the poem:

- 1. At the end, the narrator and Brad want to know where they are in the universe. More important than their physical location is where they fit socially and teleologically. They are asking how the whole story of acquiring the telescope and using it to "split stars" helps them understand their purpose in life and how that fits in with the people around them. So does scientific inquiry, a quest for the truth about the universe we inhabit, help us to understand more about "where we are" and if so, in what way?
- 2. How do the acts of splitting stars and splitting wood compare? Splitting wood is something most people would have found necessary to keep their houses warm and cook their food. So where does scientific inquiry fit into one's life?
- 3. Brad broke the law and stole from the insurance company in order to get his telescope, and at the end it still seems as though he doesn't quite know where he fits in. In some way Brad seems to have lost sight of where he is because he did something harmful to others in order to investigate the universe. What Brad wanted to do was good, but he went about it in the wrong way. How do the means of scientific inquiry we use influence our understanding of ourselves and the thing that we are studying?

Use any extra time you have to finish turning in packets that you have not turned in. Thank you all so much for your hard work, and have a wonderful summer.